


|| 📖 || The Classics in the Modern Imagination || 📖 ||

20th and 21st Century Receptions



English 4362.001 | 5370.001 || Fall 2025 || T 6:00-8:45PM, CAS 210

Prof Anett Jessop || Office CAS 248 || EMAIL <ajessop@uttyler.edu>

OFFICE HRS: T 8:45-9:45 pm; W 4:00-5:00 pm; R 5:00-6:00pm & by appointment

“Classics are embedded in the way we think about ourselves and our own history, in a more complex way than we usually allow. They are not just from or about the distant past. They are also a cultural language that we have learned to speak, in dialogue with the idea of antiquity... Classics are, of course, about us as much as about the Greeks and Romans.”

— Mary Beard, *Confronting the Classics: Traditions, Adventures and Innovations* (8)

|| COURSE DESCRIPTION & GOALS

Welcome! Our focus this semester is upon ‘receptions’ of the Homeric tradition by 20th and 21st century Anglophone (English-speaking) writers and theorists. This class will analyze poetry, historical fiction, film, and scholarship that re-imagines Classical world myth and history through the modernist/modern imagination. Our wide-ranging study will survey multiple approaches to the creative and critical reception of Classical writing: We will consider classical legends, myths, and histories as a **literary genre**, beginning with the foundational cosmologies and conflicts of Western civilization as represented in Homer’s epics (principally *The Iliad*) and moving to analyzing modern retellings.

The critical approaches and topics we will engage include **historiography** as genre (the writing of histories and biographies, authorship and narrative strategies), “**historying**” (to use historian Alun Munslow’s and others’ conception of history as both fact and *fabula*), **receptions** of the “Classics” across the 20th and 21st centuries (that is, critical and creative engagements— translations,

adaptations, revisions—of myth, legend, and scribal accountings), **archival studies** and “**critical fabulations**” (in the case of lost recordkeeping or unrecorded lives), and the debt modern **psychology** owes to classical dramas.

Creative writers we will read include Homer, Sappho, H.D. (Hilda Doolittle), Robert Graves, Margaret Atwood, Anne Carson, David Malouf, and Derek Walcott. **Theorists and scholars** we will read include T. S. Eliot, Mikhail Bakhtin, Sigmund Freud, Hélène Cixous, Lorna Hardwick, Mary Beard, and Saidiya Hartman, to name but a few. Additional readings, reports, and viewings will serve to contextualize our key texts in the larger realm of Greco-Roman reception studies and allow us to explore what modern literary interpreters, translators, scholars, and filmmakers have made of ancient stories.

Learning Outcomes

Pedagogically, I am committed to your appreciation and enriched understanding of the aesthetic, social, and cultural contexts for this body of literature as well as to your further development as sophisticated readers, critical thinkers, and writers.

Content-Based

- ♦ Students will demonstrate the capacity to forge crucial connections between and among creative and critical texts, foundational ancient works of the Western world, historical movements, and evolving ideas about modern correspondences with the Greco-Roman Classical past;
- ♦ Students will practice formulating a thesis related to reception studies and to support the thesis with evidence and argumentation;
- ♦ Students will deepen their thinking about the relationships between and among history, culture, and the arts as well as the relationships between identities and historically shifting ideas about difference (gender, sexual identity, race/ethnicity, socioeconomic class, national/international).

Skills-Based

- ♦ Students will engage—in both written and oral expressions—in close readings of complex literary and theoretical texts and, as a result, will hone skills in nuanced analysis, research, cogent writing, and thoughtful discussion and debate;
- ♦ Students will practice both personal and learning community responsibility as borne out in meeting deadlines, respectfully engaging with peers and faculty, and working to highest academic standards.
- ♦ Students will work collaboratively to gain and apply disciplinary knowledge and skills for academic and professional development.

These outcomes will be demonstrated through class discussions, discussion leadership, writing assignments, analytical essays and creative writing, all aimed to elicit objective analysis and substantive oral and written responses.

REQUIRED READING

- ♦ Atwood, Margaret. *The Penelopiad*. Canongate, 2022. 978-1786892485
- ♦ H.D. (Hilda Doolittle). *The Collected Poems 1912-1944*. New Directions, 1986. 978-0811209717
- ♦ Homer. *The Iliad*. Trans. Emily Wilson. W.W. Norton & Company, 2025. 978-1324102076
- ♦ Malouf, David. *Ransom: A Novel*. Knopf Doubleday, 2011. 978-0307475244
- ♦ Walcott, Derek. *Omeros*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 1992. 978-0374523503

RECOMMENDED

- ♦ Allan, William. *Classical Literature: A Very Short Introduction*. Oxford UP, 2014. 978-0199665457

DIGITAL ARCHIVES

University of Oxford's Department of Classics Digital Resource page:

<https://www.classics.ox.ac.uk/digital-resources#tab-2656241>

The Internet Classics Archive:

<https://www.merlot.org/merlot/viewMaterial.htm?id=75858>

GRADS || Search the University of Pennsylvania Call-For-Papers site to see current conversations that feature conferences and panels relevant to our field of study. If you are interested in submitting a conference proposal, I am happy to work with you:

<https://call-for-papers.sas.upenn.edu/category/all>

Kanopy.com

This streaming service has over 30,000 award-winning films and documentaries. Public library patrons, university students and faculty are able to watch free-of-charge with their institution's library card. While the UTT Robert R. Muntz Library does not have a subscription, I was able to create an account with my Tyler Public Library card. Excellent international, indie, and Great Courses archive! There are a number of educational programming related to our topic.

POLICIES & REQUIREMENTS

Preparation, Attendance & Participation || My teaching methods emphasize individual preparation, group discussion and collaborative learning. Class members will be expected to share insights and to encourage others to do so. This course is reading-, discussion-, and writing-intensive and students will engage in various writing assignments—both graded and ungraded—as a means to making discoveries and articulating insights about the literary and theoretical texts we read. Participation is crucial to your success in this class, which operates on the basis of a thoughtful interaction between teacher and student and among students.

Everyone is expected to attend all classes, arrive on time, turn off cellphones and laptops (unless you are working from a digital text) and store them in backpacks. **Attention to the cellphone instead of class and peers will be counted as an absence.** You will often work in small groups and are always expected to participate in discussions of the assignments. Please bring books under discussion to class as we will be reading from the texts during class time. Quizzes may be given at any time and may not be made up.

I will allow for **two** absences (equal to two weeks' attendance and participation: 1/7th of the course), excused or unexcused, during the semester, after which your participation grade will be compromised. **I will keep track of late arrivals and these will also affect your grade.** (A late arrival is defined as more than fifteen minutes after the beginning of the class time slot.) If you are late, assume you have been marked absent until you talk to me. If you are ill or have an emergency, please email me in order to turn in homework and to pick up the next assignment. Questions concerning

grades, assignments, and paper drafts, however, should be addressed during my office hours rather than by email. I will be available by appointment in case of a time conflict.

Communication Policy || Emails will be answered within 24-36 hours during the school week and occur between 9am and 8pm. Feedback on written assignments will be within 2 weeks of submission unless otherwise informed.

Discussion Forum Policy || Prompts, questions, and responses on the discussion board are to enable students to brainstorm about the readings and assignments. The postings on the discussion board must be focused on the assigned topic. All technical problems associated with Canvas, the Internet, or library databases, including passwords and user IDs, should be directed to the respective departments. The course instructor cannot answer technical questions.

Communication & Collegiality || We are all responsible for creating a seminar that is both intellectually rigorous and respectful.

Conventions of Address || Speaking to a professor, administrator, staff member, employer, manager, or colleague is different (at least initially) from speaking/texting with a friend, family member, or other familiar relation. In a professional, intellectual context like the University, it is conventional to refer to faculty, administrators, staff, and others by their titles (Doctor, Professor, Instructor, Coach, Ms./Mr.) unless explicitly instructed otherwise. You should also get into the habit of including greetings, salutations, and language appropriate to such contexts (not, for example, “Hey,”). I will respectfully refer to you according to your stated preferences and expect that you’ll reciprocate in kind.

Homework & Paper Format || Complete all assigned readings and assignments by the due date so that you can participate in online and classroom discussion and writing activities. All written assignments should be typed, double-spaced, use normal margins and readable font. Paper headings should include your name, date, course title, and professor’s name. Each page should include page number and your last name. Use MLA documentation to cite sources and include a Works Cited page.

Due Date Policy || Assignments must be submitted through Canvas on or before the due date (unless otherwise requested). Work turned in late without a compelling reason will be lowered one-third of a grade per day.

AI Policy || For this course, **AI is not permitted in this course at all**. I expect all work students submit for this course to be their own. I have carefully designed all assignments and class activities to support your learning. Doing your own work, without human or artificial intelligence assistance, is best for your efforts in mastering course learning objectives. For this course, I expressly forbid using ChatGPT or any other artificial intelligence (AI) tools for any stages of the work process, including brainstorming. Deviations from these guidelines will be considered a violation of UT Tyler’s Honor Code and academic honesty values.

| ASSIGNMENTS & PROJECTS

Preparation & Discussions (In-Class & Online)

⌘ Assigned Readings || Complete all literary and critical assigned readings by the due date so that

you can participate in classroom discussion and learning activities. It will be beneficial if you keep an **annotated bibliography** of the critical readings: that is, a short description of each article's main topics and arguments. This will save you time when crafting your final paper.

⌘ **Weekly Passages** || For each week's class meeting (beginning in Week 2), bring a hard-copy printout (or upload in Canvas) of several passages from that week's readings that caught your attention. These quotations can be from the literary works as well as assigned scholarly articles or supplemental readings. Below the quotations, list some topics, issues, and questions to guide discussion, based on your selections. Weekly passages will be collected and returned the following class period. These records of what caught your attention will support your assignment planning. **Graded 'complete/incomplete'**

CANVAS PARTICIPATION

⌘ **Weekly Discussion Leader Canvas Postings** || Across the semester, each undergraduate student will be responsible for summarizing the week's readings and recapping in-class discussions. I've made initial assignments; please let me know if you have a conflict with that date.

Format: 400+ words. POST by Wednesday (midnight).

Discussion prompts should briefly summarize the week's readings, noting the line of argumentation in scholarly articles and the subjects, themes, form, and style of the literary works. You might comment from your 21st-century vantage point on the aims of the writers and theorists and the issues representative of their historical period. **Conclude with several thoughtful discussion questions/prompts for the class.**

Discussion leadership postings will be **letter-graded** according to the concision of the summary, insights captured from the week's discussions, depth of thought brought to the discussion prompts and questions, and the grammatical correctness and polish of the writing. **You are welcome to consult with me as you draft your posting.**

⌘ **Weekly Class Discussion Responses** || Each class member is responsible for a cogent response to the week's discussion leader's posting. Once you've posted for the week, feel free to respond more briefly to colleagues' comments. **Graded 'complete/incomplete.'**

Format: 200+ words. POST by Sunday (midnight).

****NOTE** || The English major Senior Portfolio requires examples of the student's ability to give evidence of "extended written critical debate with classmates in interactive forums on larger thematic topics when prompted." Keep copies of posts that you are particularly proud of as you prepare material for your graduation portfolio!

Analytical & Creative Writing

⌘ **Timed Reflection Writing** || At the start of most class periods I will set out a question or prompt related to the week's required readings (open book) for your consideration and response; the class will have about **15** minutes to respond. I am looking for evidence of your active preparation for class and formulation of new insights, connections across texts, and research questions as we move forward in our studies. Written responses will be **graded on a rising 1-4 point scale**. If you arrive late to class you will not be given additional time. Responses cannot be made up. Hopefully the writing

and brainstorming you generate will offer ideas that might be of use in prospectuses and the final paper.

⌘ **Classical Context Mini-Reports** || **Undergraduate** student pairs will research and design a PowerPoint report on an historical or mythic figure relevant to our study. This will be due for presentation in class on the calendared date then later uploaded to Canvas. Assignments will be made during the first week of classes. **Letter-graded.**

⌘ **Creative Variation & Explication** || To test your engagement with our course focus on Classical receptions, you will create an **original work** (poem, short fiction, screenplay, graphic story, multimedia, collage, choreography, musical composition) inspired by our reading of Homer's *Iliad* and the 20th and 21st century receptions of classical histories, myth, and legends. There is no word count minimum. **This effort will not be letter-graded.**

In an attached **explication (800+ words)**, explain the premises for your creative work and discuss the ways in which you engage the literary-critical categories we have studied. **This explication will be letter graded.** *More information will be shared in class and on Canvas.*

⌘ **Film Reviews** || For this assignment, students will watch and then review a film chosen from the list provided. (If you have another film in mind, please consult professor.) Summarize the plot line and then link it to its classical source/s, if possible. Analyze the ways in which the film adapts, changes, refocuses, characterizes the story for a modern audience. *More information to be provided.*

⌘ **Final Reception Paper** || In a *minimum* of 2500+ words (*undergraduate*) and 3500+ words (*graduate*) including Works Cited, engage the creative and critical works we've read and discussed and deploy arguments highlighted throughout the semester in order to offer a literary-critical examination and focused reading. The paper should include a minimum of three outside scholarly sources. Feel free to draw from the criticism we've read over the semester. Your essay must be typed and formatted according to the "Homework & Paper Format" description above. Use MLA documentation to cite sources. Submit the final paper through Canvas in a Word document. *If a final paper is not submitted, the student will fail the course.*

GRADS || You may choose to model your final seminar paper on an article for submission to *The Explicator* journal or another literary publication that attracts your attention (it is up to you whether you actually do a final submission at semester's end). We will talk more about this in class. *Be inspired by a UT Tyler alum! Jonahs Kneitly. (2021). "Rappaccini's Queer Daughter: Gender Non-Conformity in "Rappaccini's Daughter." The Explicator, 79(3), 97-100.*
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00144940.2021.1920358>

Success Tip! As you are conceptualizing your prospectuses and final papers, I recommend that students meet with me during office hours or through email exchanges, as well as consult your humanities librarian, Vandy Dubre. Visit the Writing Center for support in drafting and revising the paper.

⌘ **E-Symposium** || Seminar paper abstracts will be posted during the final week of the semester in a mini-conference format. Feel free to use this forum for brainstorming and requesting suggestions and feedback from your colleagues to help you during your final paper drafting process. *Graded 'complete/incomplete'*

GRADUATE STUDENT PROJECTS

⌘ **Alternative Syllabus** || This assignment offers graduate students the opportunity to design a syllabus for a different configuration (thematic, topical, generic) of creative and theoretical readings and emphases for a classical receptions course to be offered at a high school, community college, or university. *More information on formatting and grading will be handed out in class.*

⌘ **Book Report** || Graduate students will select one additional work of classical reception (provided by professor) to read and then present to the class considering its relationship to its origin story/s and its reimaginings. Please prepare a PowerPoint presentation to aid your report.

⌘ **Socratic Seminar** || Graduate students will choose one of the calendared critical articles to present to the undergraduates. Summarize the salient points/argument in the article and then employ a Socratic approach (appropriately!) to draw out the students' engagement and understanding.

GRADES & FINAL EVALUATION

Final course grades will be based on the weighted average grades based on the following percentages. The final grade will include my assessment of your overall contribution and progress in the class. Feel free to communicate with me at any time about your grades.

UNDERGRADUATES:

Weekly Passages	10%
Timed Reflection Writing	5%
Discussion Leadership	5%
Canvas Discussion Responses	15%
Classical Context Mini-Reports	20%
Film Review	10%
Creative Variation	15%
Final Paper and E-Symposium	20%

GRADUATES:

Weekly Passages	5%
Timed Reflection Writing	5%
Canvas Discussion Responses	5%
Socratic Seminar	15%
Film Review	10%
Book Report and Presentation	20%
Creative Variation	10%
Alternative Syllabus	10%
Final Paper and E-Symposium	20%

PAPER GRADING STANDARDS

Excellent (A) papers have clear theses and soundly organized arguments that reflect detailed, nuanced understanding of the text. They are precisely and concisely written and have few if any mechanical problems—even minor ones. They weave quotations into their arguments smoothly and

forcefully. A vital, graceful voice animates such essays; they say something compelling in a compelling way.

Good (B) papers have clear theses and are thoughtfully and reasonably organized. They may be marred by a few infelicities (inappropriateness) of style, but they will have no major mechanical problems—and not many minor ones either. These are solid, disciplined papers that make a definite point in a logical, coherent manner to a definite audience.

Satisfactory (C) papers have a thesis supported by evidence. The writing is clean and properly proofed, but not always compelling; it may be marred by some minor mechanical problems and one or two major ones (like fragments or run-ons). While their arguments may not be particularly cogent, these papers do say something interesting, and they say it in a comprehensible and responsible way.

Poor (D) papers, while giving evidence of effort on the part of the writer, lack a thesis, have major mechanical problems, poor organization, betray serious misreading of the text, and show little sense of subject or audience.

Failing (F) papers have weaknesses even graver than those indicated for poor papers. They usually betray a lack of effort on the part of the writer. Any evidence of plagiarism (intentional or otherwise) will result in a failing grade—and referral to the Academic Conduct Committee.

Picasso, “Woman by the Sea” (1922)

