

## EDSI 6313 - School Improvement & Accountability Models

Instructor Forrest Kaiser, Ed.D.

Office BEP 245

Phone 903.780-8860 (Cell)

E-mail address <u>fkaiser@uttyler.edu</u> (preferred method of communication)

**Term** Summer 2023

Last day to withdraw from this course: July 7

## **Course Description**

This course is designed to examine Texas, national and international educational change models; analyze the sustainability and effectiveness of the models; and survey the impact of these models on school improvement efforts. Additionally, the course explores current school accountability models, systems, and strategies at the national, state, and local levels, including the Texas and locally developed school accountability systems.

## **Student Learning Outcomes**

The student will...

- A. be able to define and understand the **essential components** of School Improvement
- B. understand how school improvement is measured
- C. investigate different school improvement models
- D. develop an understanding of school accountability models
- E. explore the impact school accountability has on school improvement efforts

## Required Texts

Bryk, A. S., Gomez, L. M., Grunow, A., & LeMahieu, P. G. (2017). Learning to improve: How America's schools can get better at getting better. Harvard Education Press.

Duke, D.L., Carr, M. & Sterrett. W. (2013). The School Improvement Planning Handbook: Getting Focused for Turnaround and Transition. Rowan and Littlefield. ISBN-13: 978-1610486323

| Course Schedule |   |  |  |
|-----------------|---|--|--|
| Available       | Module Topics   | Assignments Due                              |  |
|                 | Start Here Module   | Sun, May 14                                  |  |
| Mon, May 8      | Module 1 - Essential Components of School Improvement   | Sun, May 21<br>Sun, May 28                   |  |
| Mon, May 29     | <ul> <li>Module 2 - How Improvement is Measured</li> <li>Discussion Board</li> <li>Module 2 Case Study</li> <li>Begin Accountability Position Paper</li> </ul>            | Sun, June 4<br>Sun, June 11                  |  |
| Mon, June 12    | <ul> <li>Module 3 - School Improvement Models</li> <li>Discussion Board</li> <li>Accountability Position Paper Due</li> <li>Module 3 Case Study</li> </ul>                | Sun, June 18<br>Sun, June 18<br>Sun, June 25 |  |
| Mon, June 26    | <ul> <li>Module 4 - Systems for School Accountability</li> <li>Module 4 Reflection</li> <li>Begin Accountability Framework Development</li> </ul>                         | Sun, July 9<br>Sun, July 9                   |  |
| Mon, July 10    | <ul> <li>Module 5 - School Improvement and Accountability</li> <li>Discussion Board</li> <li>Module 5 Case Study</li> <li>Accountability Framework Project Due</li> </ul> | Sun, July 16<br>Sun, July 23<br>Sun, July 23 |  |
| Mon, July 24    | Module 6 - Living Improvement   | Sun, Jul 30<br>Thurs, Aug 3                  |  |

## **Graded Course Requirements**

- **Discussion Boards** Discussion boards encourage students to communicate and explore openended prompts that require, evaluation, and reflection. Students will respond to a given prompt and provide peer feedback.
- **Reflections** Reflections build student ownership in their learning. Reflections should build a bridge between course concepts, personal goals, and future learning. Student will develop a personal connection based on recent learning.
- Case studies Case studies provide students the opportunity to investigate and explore real-world improvement scenarios, identify key factors, and develop meaningful solutions to address identifies school needs.
- **Accountability Position Paper** For this assignment, students will explore and share current issues in local, state, federal accountability.
- **Accountability Framework Project** For the framework project, students will develop a proposal for updating state accountability standards and processes to emphasize improvement over evaluation.

Full descriptions of each assignment will be provided within the course module.

# **Course Requirements**

| Discussion and Participation     | 25% |
|----------------------------------|-----|
| Reflections and Case Studies     | 25% |
| Accountability Position Paper    | 25% |
| Accountability Framework Project | 25% |

# **Course Grading**

- A 90-100% of points
- B 80-89% of points
- C 70-79% of points
- D 60-69% of points
- F below 59.9% of total points

## **COURSE POLICIES**

All assignments are expected to be completed to the best ability of the student and adhere to the stated assignment criteria. All assignments must be submitted by date listed on the assignment schedule. No late work is accepted.

**Participation** and your commitment to your learning is critical to your success in any course. Your timely participation in the class discussion and group assignments is a substantial part of your grade in this course. The due date listed in Canvas is for your first post while your peer responses will be due before the next module opens. This structure will ensure we can engage in meaningful conversations about instructional supervision.

#### Communication

To contact me via email, you may use the Canvas email. Click on the Inbox on the left side of the Canvas main menu. Through Canvas, you may send a text email or a video message. You may also email me at <a href="mailto:fkaiser@uttyler.edu">fkaiser@uttyler.edu</a>

I will respond to your emails as quickly as possible; my priority is to be supportive of your work to ensure your success. I generally reply to emails within 24 hours during the workweek. I generally do not work on Sunday so I will return emails at the start of the week.

My preferred method of communication is email. However, I am accessible via phone, text or zoom.

## Written Assignments, Quizzes & Exams

Some of the written assignments in this course require collaboration with other students; your participation as documented on Canvas will count towards the participation grade.

All other written assignments as well as all quizzes and exams are **individual assignments**. Students may not collaborate on the individual assignments. Unauthorized collaboration is considered cheating and will be handled according to University Policies and the Students Standards of Academic Conduct.

# COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND PSYCHOLOGY (CEP) VISION AND MISSION

## Vision

The College of Education and Psychology is nationally recognized and respected for its academic programs and opportunities. It is a center of academic excellence, scholarly inquiry, and public service. The College prepares leaders to meet the critical challenges of the 21<sup>st</sup> Century through productive contributions to local and global communities and toward individual and cultural equity.

#### Mission

The mission of the College of Education and Psychology is to provide a positive environment that fosters the acquisition of knowledge and skills. The mission is individually and collectively realized through a community of scholars that contributes to knowledge through scholarly inquiry; organizes knowledge for application, understanding and communication; and provides leadership and service. We affirm and promote global perspectives that value individual and cultural diversity to enhance learning, service, and scholarship.

## SCHOOL OF EDUCATION PROGRAM STANDARDS

#### **Texas Education Standards**

The School of Education is committed to teaching and implementing the Texas Educator Standards at the highest level. The School of Education faculty use the Texas Education Standards, along with the Interstate New Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (InTASC) standards used by educator preparation programs throughout the United States.

Access the <u>Texas Education Standards for Principals</u>.

Access the <u>Code of Ethics and Standard Practices for Texas Educators</u>.