



College of Education and Psychology
School of Education
Ed.D. in School Improvement

EDSI 6313 – School Improvement & Accountability Models

Instructor Forrest Kaiser, Ed.D.
Office BEP 245
Phone 903.780-8860 (Cell)
E-mail address fkaiser@uttyler.edu (preferred method of communication)

Term Summer 2024

Last day to withdraw from this course: July 12

Course Description

This course is designed to examine Texas, national and international educational change models; analyze the sustainability and effectiveness of the models; and survey the impact of these models on school improvement efforts. Additionally, the course explores current school accountability models, systems, and strategies at the national, state, and local levels, including the Texas and locally developed school accountability systems.

Student Learning Outcomes

The student will...

- A. be able to define and understand the **essential components** of School Improvement
- B. understand how school improvement is **measured**
- C. investigate different **school improvement models**
- D. develop an understanding of **school accountability models**
- E. explore **the impact** school accountability has on school improvement efforts

Required Texts

Bryk, A. S., Gomez, L. M., Grunow, A., & LeMahieu, P. G. (2017). *Learning to improve: How America's schools can get better at getting better*. Harvard Education Press.

Duke, D.L., Carr, M. & Sterrett, W. (2013). *The School Improvement Planning Handbook: Getting Focused for Turnaround and Transition*. Rowan and Littlefield. ISBN-13: 978-1610486323

| Course Schedule | | |
|-----------------|---|--|
| Available | Module Topics | Assignments Due |
| | Start Here Module <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introductory Discussion Board • Introduction Quiz | Sun, May 19 |
| Mon, May 13 | Module 1 - Essential Components of School Improvement <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussion Board • Module 1 Reflection | Sun, May 19 Sun, May 26 |
| Mon, May 27 | Module 2 - How Improvement is Measured <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussion Board • Module 2 Case Study • Begin Accountability Position Paper | Sun, June 2 Sun, June 9 <i>(Next Module)</i> |
| Mon, June 10 | Module 3 - School Improvement Models <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussion Board • Accountability Position Paper Due • Module 3 Case Study | Sun, June 16 Sun, June 16 Sun, June 23 |
| Mon, June 24 | Module 4 - Systems for School Accountability <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Module 4 Reflection • Begin Accountability Framework Development | Sun, Jul 7 <i>(Next Module)</i> |
| Mon, July 8 | Module 5 - School Improvement and Accountability <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussion Board • Module 5 Case Study • Accountability Framework Project Due | Sun, July 14 Sun, July 21 Sun, July 21 |
| Mon, July 22 | Module 6 - Living Improvement <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussion Board • Module 6 Reflection | Sun, Jul 28 Sun, Aug 4 |

Discussion board due dates are for the first post – replies are due before the next module opens.

Graded Course Requirements

- **Discussion Boards** – Discussion boards encourage students to communicate and explore open-ended prompts that require, evaluation, and reflection. Students will respond to a given prompt and provide peer feedback.
- **Reflections** – Reflections build student ownership in their learning. Reflections should build a bridge between course concepts, personal goals, and future learning. Student will develop a personal connection based on recent learning.
- **Case studies** – Case studies provide students the opportunity to investigate and explore real-world improvement scenarios, identify key factors, and develop meaningful solutions to address identifies school needs.
- **Accountability Position Paper** – For this assignment, students will explore and share current issues in local, state, federal accountability.
- **Accountability Framework Project** – For the framework project, students will develop a proposal for updating state accountability standards and processes to emphasize improvement over evaluation.

Full descriptions of each assignment will be provided within the course module.

Course Requirements

| | |
|----------------------------------|-----|
| Discussion and Participation | 25% |
| Reflections and Case Studies | 25% |
| Accountability Position Paper | 25% |
| Accountability Framework Project | 25% |

Course Grading

| | |
|---|-----------------------------|
| A | 90-100% of points |
| B | 80-89% of points |
| C | 70-79% of points |
| D | 60-69% of points |
| F | below 59.9% of total points |

COURSE POLICIES

All assignments are expected to be completed to the best ability of the student and adhere to the stated assignment criteria. All assignments must be submitted by date listed on the assignment schedule. No late work is accepted.

Participation and your commitment to your learning is critical to your success in any course. Your timely participation in the class discussion and group assignments is a substantial part of your grade in this course. The due date listed in Canvas is for your first post while your peer responses will be due before the next module opens. This structure will ensure we can engage in meaningful conversations about instructional supervision.

Communication

To contact me via email, you may use the Canvas email. Click on the Inbox on the left side of the Canvas main menu. Through Canvas, you may send a text email or a video message. You may also email me at fkaiser@uttyler.edu

I will respond to your emails as quickly as possible; my priority is to be supportive of your work to ensure your success. I generally reply to emails within 24 hours during the workweek. I generally do not work on Sunday so I will return emails at the start of the week.

My preferred method of communication is email. However, I am accessible via phone, text or zoom.

Written Assignments, Quizzes & Exams

Some of the written assignments in this course require collaboration with other students; your participation as documented on Canvas will count towards the participation grade.

All other written assignments as well as all quizzes and exams are **individual assignments**. Students may not collaborate on the individual assignments. Unauthorized collaboration is considered cheating and will be handled according to University Policies and the Students Standards of Academic Conduct.

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND PSYCHOLOGY (CEP) VISION AND MISSION

Vision

The College of Education and Psychology is nationally recognized and respected for its academic programs and opportunities. It is a center of academic excellence, scholarly inquiry, and public service. The College prepares leaders to meet the critical challenges of the 21st Century through productive contributions to local and global communities and toward individual and cultural equity.

Mission

The mission of the College of Education and Psychology is to provide a positive environment that fosters the acquisition of knowledge and skills. The mission is individually and collectively realized through a community of scholars that contributes to knowledge through scholarly inquiry; organizes knowledge for application, understanding and communication; and provides leadership and service. We affirm and promote global perspectives that value individual and cultural diversity to enhance learning, service, and scholarship.

SCHOOL OF EDUCATION PROGRAM STANDARDS

Texas Education Standards

The School of Education is committed to teaching and implementing the Texas Educator Standards at the highest level. The School of Education faculty use the Texas Education Standards, along with the Interstate New Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (InTASC) standards used by educator preparation programs throughout the United States.

Access the [Texas Education Standards for Principals.](#)

Access the [Code of Ethics and Standard Practices for Texas Educators.](#)