

NSSE 2017

High-Impact Practices

The University of Texas at Tyler



About This Report

About Your High-Impact Practices Report

Due to their positive associations with student learning and retention, certain undergraduate opportunities are designated "high-impact." High-Impact Practices (HIPs) share several traits: They demand considerable time and effort, facilitate learning outside of the classroom, require meaningful interactions with faculty and students, encourage collaboration with diverse others, and provide frequent and substantive feedback. As a result, participation in these practices can be life-changing (Kuh, 2008). NSSE founding director George Kuh recommends that institutions should aspire for all students to participate in at least two HIPs over the course of their undergraduate experience—one during the first year and one in the context of their major (NSSE, 2007).

NSSE asks students about their participation in the six HIPs shown in the box at right. Unlike most questions on the NSSE survey, the HIP questions are not limited to the current school years. Thus, senior students' responses are not limited to the current school year. Thus, senior students' responses include participation from prior years.

High-Impact Practices in NSSE

Service-Learning Courses that included a community-based project

Learning Community

Formal program where groups of students take two or more classes together

Research with Faculty Work with a faculty member on a research project

Internship or Field Experience Internship, co-op, field experience, student teaching, or clinical placement

Study Abroad

Culminating Senior Experience Capstone course, senior project or thesis, comprehensive exam, portfolio, etc.

Report Sections

Participation Comparisons (p. 3)	Displays HIP participation for your students compared with that of students at your comparison group institutions. Two views present insights into your students' HIP				
	Overall HIP Participation Displays the percentage of students who participated in one HIP and in two or more HIPs, relative to those at your comparison group institutions.				
	Statistical Comparisons Comparisons of participation in each HIP and overall for your students relative to those at comparison group institutions, with tests of significance and effect sizes.				
Response Detail (pp. 4-5)	Provides complete response frequencies for the relevant HIP questions for your students and those at your comparison group institutions. First-year results include a summary of their expectations for future HIP participation.				
Participation by Student Characteristics (p. 6)	Displays your students' participation in each HIP by selected student characteristics.				

Interpreting Comparisons

HIP participation varies more among students within an institution than it does between institutions, like many experiences and outcomes in higher education. As a result, focusing attention on overall participation rates amounts to examining the tip of the iceberg. It's equally important to understand how student engagement (including HIP participation) varies within your institution. The table on page 6 provides an initial look at how HIP participation varies by selected student characteristics. The Report Builder—Institution Version and your *Major Field Report* (both to be released in the fall) offer further perspectives on internal variation and can help you investigate your students' HIP participation in depth.

Kuh, G. D. (2008). High-impact educational practices: What they are, who has access to them, and why they matter. Washington, DC: Association of American Colleges and Universities. National Survey of Student Engagement (2007). Experiences that matter: Enhancing student learning and success—Annual Report 2007. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Center for Postsecondary Research.


Rocconi, L., & Gonyea, R. M. (2015, May). Contextualizing student engagement effect sizes: An empirical analysis. Paper presented at the Association for Institutional Research Annual Forum, Denver, CO.



NSSE 2017 High-Impact Practices Participation Comparisons The University of Texas at Tyler

Overall HIP Participation

The figures below display the percentage of students who participated in High-Impact Practices. Both figures include participation in service-learning, a learning community, and research with faculty. The senior figure also includes participation in an internship or field experience, study abroad, and culminating senior experience. The first segment in each bar shows the percentage who participated in at least two HIPs, and the full bar (both colors) represents the percentage who participated in at least one.



Statistical Comparisons

The table below displays the percentage of your students who participated in a given High-Impact Practice, including the percentage who participated overall (at least one, two or more). It also graphs the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison groups. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is compared to the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is compared to the comparison group. (Comparison group percentages appear on the following pages.)

	UT Tyler	UT System		Carnegie Clas	s	NSSE 2016 & 2017		
First-year	%	Difference ^a	ES ^b	Difference ^a	ES ^b	Difference ^a	ES ^b	
12. Service-Learning	46	-9	19	-7	15	-6	12	
11c. Learning Community	13	+2	.05	+1	.04	-0	01	
11e. Research with Faculty	5	-0	01	+1	.04	+0	.01	
Participated in at least one	53	-7	15	-5	10	-5	10	
Participated in two or more	10	+0	.00	+0	.00	-1	02	
Senior								
12. Service-Learning	60	+0	.01	-4	07	-1	02	
11c. Learning Community	23	+4	.09	+1	.03	-0	01	
11e. Research with Faculty	18	-1	01	-2	04	-6	*14	
11a. Internship or Field Exp.	35	-2	04	-10	***21	-14	***29	
11d. Study Abroad	7	+1	.04	-4	*14	-7	***24	
11f. Culminating Senior Exp.	36	+7	* .14	-8	**17	-9	**19	
Participated in at least one	83	+2	.06	-1	02	-2	06	
Participated in two or more	50	+3	.06	-7	**15	-10	***21	

Your students' participation compared with:

a. Percentage point differences (institution - comp. group) rounded to whole numbers. Values less than one may not display a bar and may be shown as +0 or -0.

b. Cohen's h (standardized difference between two proportions). Effect sizes indicate the practical importance of observed differences. For service-learning, internships, study abroad, and culminating senior experiences, an ES of about .2 may be considered small, .5 medium, and .8 large. For learning community and research with faculty, an ES of about .1 may be considered small, .3 medium, and .5 large (Rocconi & Gonyea, 2015).

p < .05, p < .01, p < .01, p < .001 (*z*-test comparing participation rates).


Note: Participation includes the percentage of students who responded "Done or in progress" except for service-learning which is the percentage who responded that at least "Some" courses included a community-based project. All results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and by institution size for comparison groups).



Response Detail

The University of Texas at Tyler

First-Year Students



% Some 31 46 44 43

Learning Community

Work with a faculty


member on a research

project.

Participate in a	UT Tyler
learning community or	ortyler
some other formal	UT System
program where groups	
of students take two or	Carnegie Class
more classes together.	NSSE 2016 & 2017


Research with a Faculty Member


h	UT Tyler	5
	UT System	5
	Carnegie Class	4
	NSSE 2016 & 2017	5




% Done or in progress

14







% None


54

45

46

48


% Do not plan to do



% Have not decided







Plans to Participate^a


Knowing whether first-year students *plan* to participate in upper-division HIPs can reveal insights about HIP demand, awareness of opportunities, and the clarity of institutional information. These results might also point to topics for additional exploration, such as what contributes to students' expectations, their assumptions about who can participate, or why other students are undecided or have no plans to participate in the activity.

UT Tyler UT System Carnegie Class NSSE 2016 & 2017


Percentage responding "Plan to do"

Internship or Field Experience

Participate in an internship, coop, field experience, student teaching, or clinical placement.




Study Abroad Participate in a study abroad



Culminating Senior Experience

Complete a culminating senior experience (capstone course, senior project or thesis, comprehensive exam, portfolio, etc.).



a. Refer to your Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons for details on the other response options.

Note: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institutional size for comparison groups).




Response Detail The University of Texas at Tyler

Seniors

Service-Learning		% Most or all	% Some	% None	
About how many of your courses at this	UT Tyler	18	41	40	
institution have	UT System	13	46	41	
included a community- based project (service-	Carnegie Class	13	50	37	
learning)?	NSSE 2016 & 2017	12	49	40	
Learning Communit	у	% Done or in progress	% Plan to do	% Have not decided	% Do not plan to do
Participate in a learning community or	UT Tyler	23	16	16	45
some other formal	UT System	19	14	18	49
program where groups of students take two or	Carnegie Class	22	10	16	52
more classes together.	NSSE 2016 & 2017	23	10	14	53
Research with a Fac	ulty Member	% Done or in progress	% Plan to do	% Have not decided	% Do not plan to do
Work with a faculty member on a research	UT Tyler	18	15	23	44
project.	UT System	18	19	20	42
	Carnegie Class	19	12	17	51
	NSSE 2016 & 2017	23	12	15	49
Internship or Field E	xperience	% Done or in progress	% Plan to do	% Have not decided	% Do not plan to do
	•			i i	
Participate in an internship, co-op, field	UT Tyler	35	30	14	21
internship, co-op, field experience, student	-		30 35	14 1 2	21
internship, co-op, field	UT Tyler	35			
internship, co-op, field experience, student teaching, or clinical	UT Tyler UT System	35 37	35	12	16
internship, co-op, field experience, student teaching, or clinical	UT Tyler UT System Carnegie Class	35 37 45	35	12	16 1 9
internship, co-op, field experience, student teaching, or clinical placement. Study Abroad Participate in a study	UT Tyler UT System Carnegie Class	 35 37 45 49 	35 25 24	12 11 11 10	16 19 17
internship, co-op, field experience, student teaching, or clinical placement. Study Abroad	UT Tyler UT System Carnegie Class NSSE 2016 & 2017	 35 37 45 49 % Done or in progress 	35 25 24 % Plan to do	12 11 10 % Have not decided	16 19 17 % Do not plan to do
internship, co-op, field experience, student teaching, or clinical placement. Study Abroad Participate in a study	UT Tyler UT System Carnegie Class NSSE 2016 & 2017 UT Tyler	35 37 45 49 % Done or in progress 7	35 25 24 % Plan to do 10	12 11 10 % Have not decided 17	16 19 17 % Do not plan to do 66
internship, co-op, field experience, student teaching, or clinical placement. Study Abroad Participate in a study	UT Tyler UT System Carnegie Class NSSE 2016 & 2017 UT Tyler UT System	 35 37 45 49 % Done or in progress 7 6 	35 25 24 % Plan to do 10 13	12 11 10 % Have not decided 17 17	16 19 17 % Do not plan to do 66 64
internship, co-op, field experience, student teaching, or clinical placement. Study Abroad Participate in a study abroad program. Culminating Senior	UT Tyler UT System Carnegie Class NSSE 2016 & 2017 UT Tyler UT System Carnegie Class NSSE 2016 & 2017	35 37 45 49 X Done or in progress 7 6 11	35 25 24 % Plan to do 10 13 7	12 11 10 % Have not decided 17 17 13	16 19 17 % Do not plan to do 66 64 69
internship, co-op, field experience, student teaching, or clinical placement. Study Abroad Participate in a study abroad program. Culminating Senior Complete a	UT Tyler UT System Carnegie Class NSSE 2016 & 2017 UT Tyler UT System Carnegie Class NSSE 2016 & 2017	35 37 45 49 X Done or in progress 7 6 11 14	35 25 24 % Plan to do 10 13 7 7	12 11 10 % Have not decided 17 17 13 12	16 19 17 % Do not plan to do 66 64 69 67
internship, co-op, field experience, student teaching, or clinical placement. Study Abroad Participate in a study abroad program. Culminating Senior experience (capstone	UT Tyler UT System Carnegie Class NSSE 2016 & 2017 UT Tyler UT System Carnegie Class NSSE 2016 & 2017	35 37 45 49 % Done or in progress 7 6 11 14 % Done or in progress	35 25 24 % Plan to do 10 13 7 7 7 7 8 7	12 11 10 % Have not decided 17 17 13 12 % Have not decided	16 19 17 % Do not plan to do 66 64 69 67 67 % Do not plan to do
internship, co-op, field experience, student teaching, or clinical placement. Study Abroad Participate in a study abroad program. Culminating Senior Complete a culminating senior	UT Tyler UT System Carnegie Class NSSE 2016 & 2017 UT Tyler UT System Carnegie Class NSSE 2016 & 2017 Experience	35 37 45 49 * Done or in progress 7 6 11 14 * Done or in progress 36	35 25 24 % Plan to do 10 13 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 9 7 8 7 8 7 9 10 7 10 13 10 13 10 13 10 13 10 13 10 13 10 13 10 13 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	12 11 10 % Have not decided 17 17 13 12 % Have not decided 14	16 19 17 % Do not plan to do 66 64 69 67 % Do not plan to do 20

Note: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institutional size for comparison groups).









Participation by Student Characteristics The University of Texas at Tyler

Participation in High-Impact Practices by Student Characteristics

The table below displays the percentage of your students who participated in each HIP by selected student characteristics. Examining participation rates for different groups offers insight into how engagement varies within your student population.

	First-year			_	Senior					
	Service- Learning	Learning Community	Research with Faculty	Service- Learning	Learning Community	Research with Faculty	Internship or Field Experience	Study Abroad	Culminating Senior Experience	
Sex ^a	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
Female	45	13	1	66	22	21	39	6	30	
Male	48	14	9	50	22	15	29	7	45	
Race/ethnicity or international ^a										
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Asian	_	—	_	72	22	11	11	6	22	
Black or African American	38	8	8	56	20	25	24	8	40	
Hispanic or Latino	62	31	0	61	16	2	27	0	23	
Native Hawaiian/Other Pac. Islander	-	-	—	-	-	—	-	-	-	
White	48	10	5	61	26	24	43	6	37	
Other	_	_	_	_	_	—	—	_	_	
Foreign or nonresident alien	_	—	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	
Two or more races/ethnicities	-	-	-	52	5	24	29	10	48	
Age										
Traditional (FY < 21, Seniors < 25)	46	13	5	64	23	23	41	10	38	
Nontraditional (FY 21+, Seniors 25+)	_	_	-	58	22	12	29	2	31	
First-generation ^b										
Not first-generation	42	8	4	64	29	24	44	8	40	
First-generation	50	16	6	60	18	14	30	5	32	
Enrollment status ^a										
Not full-time	-	-	—	59	18	16	39	7	41	
Full-time	48	14	5	62	24	20	34	6	31	
Residence										
Not on campus	54	14	2	61	23	17	36	6	36	
On campus	38	11	7	59	13	26	30	13	27	
Major category ^c										
Arts & humanities	_	_	_	42	16	16	21	21	37	
Biological sciences, agriculture, natural res.	-	-	_	58	17	33	33	0	33	
Physical sciences, math, computer science		-	-	50	40	40	20	10	50	
Social sciences	46	31	8	55	18	29	14	5	27	
Business	36	8	0	49	14	14	40	9	53	
Communications, media, public relations	_	—	—	 69	 62	23		15		
Education Engineering	_	_	_	44	62 31	23	29	15	46	
Health professions	56	10	2	84	19	25 9	38	10	14	
Social service professions	_		_	<u> </u>		_	_	_	-	
Undecided/undeclared	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Overall	46	13	5	60	23	18	35	7	36	
	40	1.5	J	00	2.5	10		/	30	

Notes: Percentage of students who responded "Done or in progress" except for service-learning which is the percentage who responded that at least "Some" courses included a communitybased project. Percentages are not reported (—) for row categories containing fewer than 10 students. Results are unweighted, except for overall percentages which are weighted by sex and enrollment status.

a. Institution-reported variable.

b. Neither parent holds a bachelor's degree.

c. These are NSSE's default related-major categories, based on first major if more than one was reported. Institution-customized major categories will be included on the *Major Field Report*, to be released in the fall. Excludes majors categorized as "all other."