A Summary of Student Engagement Results

Student engagement represents two critical features of collegiate quality. The first is the amount of time and effort students put into their studies and other educationally purposeful activities. The second is how institutional resources, courses, and other learning opportunities facilitate student participation in activities that matter to student learning. NSSE surveys undergraduate students in their first and final years to assess their levels of engagement and related information about their experience at your institution.

This Snapshot is a concise collection of key findings from your institution’s NSSE 2017 administration. We hope this information stimulates discussions about the undergraduate experience. Additional details about these and other results appear in the reports referenced throughout.

### Engagement Indicators
Sets of items are grouped into ten Engagement Indicators, organized under four broad themes. At right are summary results for your institution. For details, see your Engagement Indicators report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Engagement Indicator</th>
<th>Your students compared with UT System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>First-year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic Challenge</td>
<td>Higher-Order Learning</td>
<td>▼</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reflective &amp; Integrative Learning</td>
<td>▼</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Learning Strategies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quantitative Reasoning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning with Peers</td>
<td>Collaborative Learning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discussions with Diverse Others</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiences with Faculty</td>
<td>Student-Faculty Interaction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Effective Teaching Practices</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus Environment</td>
<td>Quality of Interactions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supportive Environment</td>
<td>▼</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key:**
- Your students’ average was significantly higher (p < .05) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.
- Your students’ average was significantly lower (p < .05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.
- No significant difference.

### High-Impact Practices
Due to their positive associations with student learning and retention, special undergraduate opportunities are designated "high-impact." For more details and statistical comparisons, see your High-Impact Practices report.

#### First-year
- Learning Community, Service-Learning, and Research w/Faculty

#### Senior
- Learning Community, Service-Learning, Research w/Faculty, Internship, Study Abroad, and Culminating Senior Experience
Academic Challenge: Additional Results

The Academic Challenge theme contains four Engagement Indicators as well as several important individual items. The results presented here provide an overview of these individual items. For more information about the Academic Challenge theme, see your Engagement Indicators report. To further explore individual item results, see your Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons, the Major Field Report, the Online Institutional Report, or the Report Builder—Institution Version.

Time Spent Preparing for Class

This figure reports the average weekly class preparation time for your students compared to students in your comparison group.

Reading and Writing

These figures summarize the number of hours your students spent reading for their courses and the average number of pages of assigned writing compared to students in your comparison group. Each is an estimate calculated from two or more separate survey questions.

Challenging Students to Do Their Best Work

To what extent did students’ courses challenge them to do their best work? Response options ranged from 1 = "Not at all" to 7 = "Very much."

Academic Emphasis

How much did students say their institution emphasizes spending significant time studying and on academic work? Response options included "Very much," "Quite a bit," "Some," and "Very little."
**Item Comparisons**

By examining individual NSSE questions, you can better understand what contributes to your institution's performance on the Engagement Indicators. This section displays the five questions\(^a\) on which your students scored the highest and the five questions on which they scored the lowest, relative to students in your comparison group. Parenthetical notes indicate whether an item belongs to a specific Engagement Indicator or is a High-Impact Practice. While these questions represent the largest differences (in percentage points), they may not be the most important to your institutional mission or current program or policy goals. For additional results, see your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report.

### First-year

**Highest Performing Relative to UT System**

- Instructors provided feedback on a draft or work in progress\(^c\) (ET)
- Instructors provided prompt and detailed feedback on tests or completed assignments\(^c\) (ET)
- Asked another student to help you understand course material\(^b\) (CL)
- Prepared for exams by discussing or working through course material w/other students\(^b\) (CL)
- Instructors taught course sessions in an organized way\(^c\) (ET)

**Lowest Performing Relative to UT System**

- Connected your learning to societal problems or issues\(^b\) (RI)
- Institution emphasis on providing opportunities to be involved socially\(^c\) (SE)
- Institution emphasis on helping you manage your non-academic responsibilities (…)\(^c\) (SE)
- Institution emphasis on attending events that address important social/econ./polit. issues\(^c\) (SE)
- Institution emphasis on encouraging contact among students from different backgrounds…\(^c\) (SE)

### Senior

**Highest Performing Relative to UT System**

- Worked with other students on course projects or assignments\(^b\) (CL)
- Prepared for exams by discussing or working through course material w/other students\(^b\) (CL)
- Quality of interactions with students\(^b\) (QI)
- Quality of interactions with other administrative staff and offices (…)\(^d\) (QI)
- Institution emphasis on providing support to help students succeed academically\(^c\) (SE)

**Lowest Performing Relative to UT System**

- Participated in an internship, co-op, field exp., student teach., clinical placement. (HIP)
- Instructors taught course sessions in an organized way\(^c\) (ET)
- Institution emphasis on attending events that address important social/econ./polit. issues\(^c\) (SE)
- Assigned more than 50 pages of writing\(^g\)
- Institution emphasis on attending campus activities and events (…)\(^f\) (SE)

---

\(^a\) The displays on this page draw from the items that make up the ten Engagement Indicators (EIs), six High-Impact Practices (HIPs), and the additional academic challenge items reported on page 2. Key to abbreviations for EI items: HO = Higher-Order Learning, RI = Reflective & Integrative Learning, LS = Learning Strategies, QR = Quantitative Reasoning, CL = Collaborative Learning, DD = Discussions with Diverse Others, SF = Student-Faculty Interaction, ET = Effective Teaching Practices, QI = Quality of Interactions, SE = Supportive Environment. HIP items are also indicated. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile included in your *Institutional Report* and available on the NSSE website.

\(^b\) Combination of students responding "Very often" or "Often."

\(^c\) Combination of students responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit."

\(^d\) Rated at least 6 on a 7-point scale.

\(^e\) Percentage reporting at least "Some."

\(^f\) Estimate based on the reported amount of course preparation time spent on assigned reading.

\(^g\) Estimate based on number of assigned writing tasks of various lengths.
How Students Assess Their Experience

Students' perceptions of their cognitive and affective development, as well as their overall satisfaction with the institution, provide useful evidence of their educational experiences. For more details, see your Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons report.

Perceived Gains Among Seniors

Students reported how much their experience at your institution contributed to their knowledge, skills, and personal development in ten areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perceived Gains</th>
<th>Percentage of Seniors Responding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thinking critically and analytically</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working effectively with others</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquiring job- or work-related knowledge and skills</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing clearly and effectively</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyzing numerical and statistical information</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaking clearly and effectively</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solving complex real-world problems</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing or clarifying a personal code of values and ethics</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understanding people of other backgrounds (econ., racial/ethnic, polit., relig., nation., etc.)</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Being an informed and active citizen</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Satisfaction with UT Tyler

Students rated their overall experience at the institution, and whether or not they would choose it again.

Percentage Rating Their Overall Experience as "Excellent" or "Good"

- **First-year**
  - UT Tyler: 82%
  - UT System: 85%

- **Senior**
  - UT Tyler: 85%
  - UT System: 81%

Percentage Who Would "Definitely" or " Probably" Attend This Institution Again

- **First-year**
  - UT Tyler: 82%
  - UT System: 82%

- **Senior**
  - UT Tyler: 84%
  - UT System: 79%

Administration Details

Response Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Resp. rate</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Full-time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First-year</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See your Administration Summary and Respondent Profile reports for more information.

Additional Questions

Your institution did not choose to administer additional questions. In future administrations, you may customize NSSE by participating in a topical module or a consortium. See our website for more information. nsse.indiana.edu

What is NSSE?

NSSE annually collects information at hundreds of four-year colleges and universities about student participation in activities and programs that promote their learning and personal development. The results provide an estimate of how undergraduates spend their time and what they gain from attending their college or university. Institutions use their data to identify aspects of the undergraduate experience that can be improved through changes in policy and practice.

NSSE has been in operation since 2000 and has been used at more than 1,600 colleges and universities in the US and Canada. More than 90% of participating institutions administer the survey on a periodic basis.

Visit our website: nsse.indiana.edu