|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [ ]  Dr. Torey Nalbone, Chair | [ ]  Dr. Steven Idell, Dean**\*** | [ ]  Dr. Kouider Mokhtari, Assc Dean**\*** | [ ]  Dr. Alecia Wolf, Asst Dean**\*** |
| [ ]  Dr. Jessica Holm, CEP | [ ]  Dr. Annamary Consalvo, CEP | [ ]  Dr. Torry Tucker, SMBS | [ ]  Dr. Michael Morris, SCRH |
| [ ]  Dr. Bill Sorenson, CNHS | [ ]  Dr. Jenifer Chilton, CNHS | [ ]  Dr. Mary Fischer, SCOB | [ ]  Dr. Tom Roberts, SCOB |
| [ ]  Dr. Michael Veronin, FCOP | [ ]  Dr. Kathy Snella, FCOP | [ ]  Dr. Dewane Hughes, CAS | [ ]  Dr. Jon Seal, CAS |
| [ ]  Dr. Hassan El Kishky, COE | [ ]  Dr. Gokhan Saygili, COE | [ ]  Rebecca McKay Johnson, Lib**\*** | [ ]  Dr. Sam Carrell, RO**\*** |
| [ ]  Monika Kenzi, SCOB | [ ]  Catherine Watkins, FCOP | [ ]  Dr. Erin West, Faculty Senate**\*** | [ ]   |
| [ ]  Brittani Riley, Grad Adm**\*** | [ ]  Amanda Whitt, Adm Asst**\*** | [ ]   | **\*** Denotes non-voting/ExO |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ITEM | DISCUSSION | ACTION |
| I. Call to Order | Zoom <https://uttyler.zoom.us/j/96844209876?pwd=MGVwZXk5cnVVbVY3dTJYUzBLYUNDUT09>Meeting ID: 968 4420 9876 Passcode: 226450 |  |
| II. Approval of Minutes | 1. Approval of minutes from January 15th, 2021 Meeting
 |  |
| III. Committee Reports1. Curriculum Committee
2. Ad Hoc
 | A.1. Curriculum Subcommittee report and recommendations;
	1. February doc – 2 new courses, 4 change courses, 4 change programs;
	2. Late February doc – 9 new courses
 |  |
| IV. Unfinished Business | 1. Future of Funding graduate study: Several agenda items were combined here for simplicity;
* Graduate Research Assistant Task Force Proposal (GRA)
* GRA – as a result of the GRA task force suggestions and COVID, Provost Mirmiran allowed for TA funding for online students but the remainder of the proposal has yet to be discussed
* Provost Mirmiran has a proposal in the works for additional TA/GA funding and we are awaiting more information as the develops.
* Strategic Enrollment Management Task Force (SEM)
* Proposal was presented to Provost Mirmiran and Lucas Roebuck: we agree that funding resources are a key component to the success of an effective recruitment and enrollment model. We propose that (UNIVERSITY) resource allocation for recruitment and enrollment be reviewed in a holistic way to optimize student attraction and retention for our academic programs. This may be inclusive of but not limited to funding for student recruitment, scholarships, assistantships, and waivers. We propose annual collaborative reviews with stakeholders for long-term planning.
* All funding questions tie in with Graduate Research Assistant Task Force proposal and all are pending information and approvals from the Provost’s office.
1. Mission/Vision/Goals statement clarity; goals of Graduate School – document shared Jan meeting, attachment for discussion
2. Admission decision inconsistencies and holistic review info; consider readdressing issue – Dean Idell to move forward with guidelines for implementing a holistic admission process.
3. Graduate Assistantships (GA) – vote on keeping policy that states GA funding can only go towards funding fully admitted students. Amend policy wording to state the department is responsible for ensuring the policy is followed.

Add: 1. students who were unable to obtain outstanding documents for full admission through no fault of their own can appeal to their academic department to get RA/TA funding. Academic departments will share their decision with Graudate Admissions to facilitate approved funding.
2. Graduate Assistantships (not RA/TA) can be held by any admitted graduate student who is enrolled full-time.
3. University Curriculum Committee (UCC) – previously called single curr comm; attachment for discussion
4. Admission Appeal Subcommittee (AAS) – process for appointing a committee comprised of GC members to hear admission appeals, attached current process;
* consider adding: in the event an appeal rises to the level of the Admission Appeal Subcommittee, The Graduate Council Chair will appoint an ad hoc committee comprised of Graduate Council members. (voting? Do we want to specify?)
1. Review definition of the role of the Graduate Advisor; tabled
2. External Peer review; tabled
 |  |
| V. New Business |  |  |
| VI. Announcements/Open Forum |  |  |
| VII. Adjourn |  |  |









