# Turning Conference Papers Into Academic Articles

Dr. Matthew Kelly
Associate Professor & Academic Writing Consultant
ORSSP Research Design & Data Analysis Lab

#### Overview

 Explain differences in presentations versus academic publications

 Review strategies for translating conference papers into full-length articles

Presentations → discuss emerging research

Presentations → discuss emerging research

Publications → reinforce validity of research

- Presentations → discuss emerging research
- Publications → reinforce validity of research
- Peer-review process designed to "stress test" and solidify research findings

• Key similarity: general structure

Introduction

Methods

Results

- Analysis
- Discussion

Introduction

- Methods
- Results
- Analysis
- Discussion

 Methods, data, and results will most likely remain the same

 Main difference: how you frame research in Introduction and Discussions/Conclusion sections

 Let's discuss how to (re)write opening and concluding sections

Focus on how you contextualize your research

 Most conferences revolve around a theme, question, or topic

 Most conferences revolve around a theme, question, or topic

Context already established via CFP or keynote address

 Clarifying relevance isn't most important part of most presentations

For publications, cannot assume audience knows context

For publications, cannot assume audience knows context

 Introductions need to be revised with clear overview of current research

For publications, cannot assume audience knows context

 Introductions need to be revised with clear overview of current research

 Where to begin? Start with CFP, keynote address, and/or other panels

Discussion and Conclusion sections also establish context

Explain contributions and point towards future research avenues

 Presentations can pose hypotheticals, publications should identify actual research

 Presentations can pose hypotheticals, publications should identify actual research

 Concluding sections of publications require more sustained overview of research

 Locate and cite sources that could be used as starting points for further investigations

## **General Strategies**

So, we've discussed differences in specific sections

Conclude by talking about general differences and writing strategies

# **Working with Sources**

## **Working with Sources**

Level of detail when engaging with outside sources

## **Working with Sources**

Level of detail when engaging with outside sources

- Publications warrant longer quotes and more sustained analysis
- Including longer quotes in presentations runs risk of obscuring your own focus

## **Tone and Style**

## **Tone and Style**

Tone in presentations can be more casual

## **Tone and Style**

Tone in presentations can be more casual

 Writing "for the ear" is different compared to writing "for the eye"

Revising with a formal tone is often required

 The critical terms, frameworks, and authors cited will influence who discovers your work

 The critical terms, frameworks, and authors cited will influence who discovers your work

 Make sure you are selecting key terms that clearly identify your research focus

 The critical terms, frameworks, and authors cited will influence who discovers your work

 Make sure you are selecting key terms that clearly identify your research focus

Titles can make a difference. Be precise!

#### Conclusion

Let's recap

#### Conclusion

 Context is key: opening and closing sections need most revision

- Closer engagement with sources
- Tone and style need sentence-level adjustments
- Title and key terms can help make article easier to locate

#### Conclusion

- The ORSSP Lab has consultants to help with both quantitative and qualitative research questions
- Webinars that discuss writing strategies
- Resources to help authors draft writing at every stage